Learning Objectives

⦁ Compare disciplinary and nondisciplinary approaches used by boards of nursing (BONs) for substance use disorder cases.
⦁ State the benefits of alternative-to-discipline programs (ADPs).
⦁ Describe the ADP process, including referral, eligibility, and treatment.
⦁ Discuss the relationship between ADPs and BONs.

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2008) reports that only 14% of Americans addicted to alcohol and drugs seek treatment for their addictions. Substance use disorder (SUD) has been considered a treatable disease by the American health care system for many years, but the concept of SUD as a treatable disease in health care providers has not always been widely accepted. In the past, nurses and doctors were denied the nonpunitive approach offered to the patients they served. Many of these providers did not receive treatment until they were criminally charged.

This approach began to change as boards of nursing (BONs) petitioned state legislatures to approve alternative legislation. This new legislation enabled treatment to be offered to addicted nurses without negatively affecting their licenses, as long as they continued to meet certain requirements. To date, 41 states, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands have developed programs to channel nurses with SUD into treatment and recovery programs.

Today, nurses with SUD can avoid disciplinary interventions by the BON if they understand the progression of SUD and promptly act to interrupt it with treatment and aftercare. Most nurses admit a lack of knowledge regarding the steps necessary to access help for themselves or other nurses with SUD. The process of obtaining help and advocacy is not common knowledge among nurses. To intervene effectively, nurses must know the available treatment resources, and the process a nurse with SUD undergoes from the time of a complaint or referral to treatment, to monitoring, to aftercare. With professional help and support, nurses can recover from SUD, improve their overall state of well-being, and return to the safe practice of nursing, while steps are taken to ensure public protection. This article addresses SUD among nurses and how complaints against nurses are handled by the board of nursing, and discusses ADPs, including their availability, eligibility, benefits, and challenges.
and managers, lack of education, and attitudes towards drugs and drug use. Certain attitudes may heighten the odds of substance use among nurses:

- Seeing drugs as an acceptable means of coping with life’s problems
- Developing an overarching faith in the ability of drugs to promote healing
- Rationalizing drug use on the basis of needing to continue working
- Feeling invulnerable to illnesses
- Developing a permissive attitude toward self-diagnosing and self-treating physical pain or stress (Clark & Farnsworth, 2006; Luck & Hedrick, 2004).

Reviewing and Investigating Allegations

BONs are created by state legislation to protect the public, and states give BONs the power to regulate nurses in their jurisdiction (Dunn, 2005). BONs enact and enforce rules and regulations related to the practice of nursing in their state. These rules and regulations define what constitutes misconduct, unprofessional conduct, incompetence, and unfit to practice (Dunn, 2005). BONs employ the rules when they receive an allegation that a nurse has engaged in misconduct.

To understand alternative-to-discipline programs (ADPs), nurses must comprehend what happens when a complaint is submitted. The BON handles all allegations or complaints against nurses, typically by following a process of review, investigation, hearing or proceedings, and resolution of the complaint. Table 1 describes a typical complaint process for any allegation.

SUD in the nursing community is a major concern for state BONs. When a nurse is suspected of or admits to substance abuse, the offense falls under the general category of unprofessional conduct. State regulations cover certain acts that are cause for disciplinary action against the nurse, including the following:

- Drug diversion
- Positive drug screen without a lawful prescription
- Violation of a state or federal narcotics or controlled substances law
- Criminal convictions including driving under the influence
- Illegal use of drugs or controlled substances
- Use of habit-forming drugs, controlled substances, or alcohol to the extent that the use impairs the user physically or mentally
- Failure to comply with the contract provisions of the nurse’s assistance program

After the evidence against a nurse in a case alleging impairment or SUD has been presented and the BON has determined that the nurse has engaged in unprofessional conduct in violation of the nurse practice act in the state, the BON must determine which sanctions are appropriate for rehabilitating the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaint Process from Complaint to Resolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a complaint is filed against a nurse, the board of nursing (BON) follows a process of review, investigation, hearing or proceedings, and resolution. Though the exact process may differ among states, typically it follows the path described below (National Council of State Boards of Nursing, 2010b).

**Review and Investigation**

First, a determination is made as to whether or not the alleged act violates existing laws or regulations that govern the nurse’s practice. If it is found to violate the nurse practice act (NPA), evidence is gathered, and interviews are conducted. The process used to investigate and act on a complaint may vary, depending on the seriousness of the allegations (was there actual or potential harm to a patient, or was the alleged behavior minor in nature?) and on the timeliness of the complaint. Investigation can include requesting additional documents or information from complainants and the licensee, visiting pertinent sites, and interviewing witnesses.

**Proceedings**

When sufficient information is gathered, the nurse is given an opportunity to respond to the allegations. BONs vary in the methods used to obtain the nurse’s perspective on the situation. Nurses are informed of methods used to obtain information through informal proceedings, and the implications for licensure and the ability to continue to practice nursing. The nurse has the right to obtain legal advice and advocacy at her or his own expense.

State laws vary as to the standard of proof (degree of certainty) required in administrative law cases. If the BON determines that disciplinary action is warranted, it must then decide on the type of action. Factors such as the level of risk, the underlying cause, the question of whether the nurse should be out of practice for a time, and mitigating or aggravating factors are considered.

**Complaint Resolution**

If, after hearing the evidence, the BON decides the nurse violated the NPA, the BON must determine the appropriate sanctions for disciplining the nurse. The types of actions available to BONs vary according to state law. Although terminology may differ, BON action affects the nurse’s licensure status and ability to practice nursing in the state taking action. BON actions may include:

- Fine or civil penalty
- In the case of substance abuse, referral to an alternative-to-discipline program for practice monitoring and recovery support
- Imposition of requirements for monitoring, education, or other provision tailored to the particular situation
- Limitation or restriction of one or more aspects of practice (e.g., limiting role, setting, activities, hours worked)
- Separation from practice for a period of time (suspension) or loss of license (revocation)
nurse and whether a disciplinary or nondisciplinary approach is appropriate.

**Disciplinary Approach**

In the traditional disciplinary approach, the Final Order of Discipline resolution requires the nurse to enroll in a monitoring program within a short period of time. Many state BONs have statutory authority for implementing nurse assistance programs; others work closely with independent programs. The BON implements rules that often include admission criteria, program requirements, and discharge criteria.

The Final Order will require that the nurse sign a contract with the program as part of the enrollment process and that the nurse abide by all the terms, conditions, and requirements of the program and contract until successfully completing the program. There is no provision for protecting the privacy of the nurse. The Final Order also usually includes:

- voluntary admission of the SUD and a no-contest plea
- agreement to certain terms, such as suspension of licenses, treatment, monitoring, and limited practice reports
- presentation of administrative complaint and proposed consent order to the BON
- BON acceptance, revision, or rejection of terms
- possible coding of license as “Probation,” “Limited,” or some similar indication of probation
- provision that records be subjected to public access (may not apply in all states).

The fact that discipline has been instituted against a nurse is made available to the public as soon as discipline is taken. Disciplinary actions are reported to databanks, such as the Nursys® data bank of the National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN) and data banks as required by federal law, including the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank and the National Practitioner Data Bank.

Before the advent of ADPs, this disciplinary approach was the only way to protect the public from nurses with SUD. Since the 1980s, ADPs have been used by a growing number of BONs.

**Alternative-to-Discipline Programs**

The first ADP started in Florida in the 1980s in response to the need to compassionately but effectively protect health care professionals and the public. The American Nurses Association (ANA) and NCSBN were pivotal in promoting recovery and re-entry to practice for health care professionals. In 1984, the ANA (1982, 1984) adopted a resolution calling for nonpunitive state assistance programs and treatment before disciplinary action. In 1996, an NCSBN task force created a template for ADPs that is widely used in the United States. In June 2002, a resolution before the ANA House of Delegates on workplace advocacy recommended advocacy for the retention of nurses who experience addiction and psychiatric disorders and efforts to educate the public and professional nurses on the prevalence of addiction disorders as diseases for which society and registered nurses are at risk. Today, 43 of 59 jurisdictions have ADPs (Bainer, 2010).

ADPs enhance the BON’s ability to provide public protection by promoting early identification of nurses with SUD and intervention before they demonstrate unsafe practices. The programs were designed to refer nurses for evaluation and treatment, monitor the nurses’ compliance with treatment and recovery recommendations, and monitor their abstinence from drug and alcohol use.

ADPs offer an opportunity for nurses who meet specified criteria to remain active in nursing while in treatment and being monitored. Thus, they can continue to work, which enhances their financial status, further supporting recovery (Fogger & McGuinness, 2009).

When a nurse is referred to an ADP or self-reports, the investigation does not last long, as it often does with the traditional disciplinary model, which allows the nurse to practice during this period, placing patients at risk. In ADPs, compliance with treatment and aftercare recommendations is required immediately, and return to or continuation of practice is carefully monitored to ensure public safety (Geiger & Smith, 2003).

**Relationships between BONs and ADPs**

The majority of states have ADPs affiliated with or recognized by their BONs. As of 2008, 45 BONs in the United States had some form of ADP (Monroe & Kenaga, 2010). According to the 2009 NCSBN survey of states with ADPs, 47% are administered by the staff of the BON, and 11% are administered by a state agency other than the BON, such as the department of health. The rest are administered by an outside entity, such as a professional association or a peer-assistance program (National Council of State Boards of Nursing [NCSBN], 2010c). An example of an ADP administered by an outside entity is the Illinois Professional Health Program (IPHP), which works with other health professionals, such as physicians and pharmacists. IPHP works with the BON after the identified nurse grants legal consent for them to communicate.

Collaboration between BONs and ADPs results in a balance between nonpublic monitoring of the nurse with SUD and just action of the BON to meet public safety concerns. Outside ADPs must preserve the BON’s disciplinary authority. However, when a BON delegates responsibility for its ADP to an outside entity, it needs to have complete assurance in the capability and integrity of the ADP. Any contract entered into should give the BON adequate control and oversight. A contractual relationship should specify which data are shared, when data are shared, and under what circumstances.

**Referral**

A nurse may be referred to an ADP in several ways:

- Self-referral. A nurse with a SUD may contact an ADP.
• Work-related referral. An employer, supervisor, or colleague contacts an ADP about a nurse who needs help. If the nurse with SUD does not agree to participate in the ADP, the BON will be informed, and a disciplinary process may begin.
• BON referral. While a complaint is being processed or investigated, the BON or the investigator may refer a nurse to an ADP.
• Treatment program referral.

Eligibility

A preliminary screening process has usually substantiated that the nurse has been diagnosed with SUD and identified a need for further evaluation (Breining, 2008). The screening process also determines whether the nurse is appropriate and eligible for the ADP.

If the nurse is not eligible, the ADP refers the matter to the BON for further review and offers other appropriate treatment referrals. An ADP is not available to a nurse who diverted drugs for sale or distribution to others, caused harm to a patient because of his or her abuse, or engaged in behavior with a high potential for causing harm, such as substituting patients’ drugs with placebos (NCSBN, 2010c).

To enter an ADP, a nurse must sign an individualized contract specifying evaluation and treatment requirements, drug-screening requirements, worksite limitations, and compliance reporting. The nurse also admits to having problems with substance use and agrees to waive all rights to appeal, file grievances or complaints, and contest licensure actions relating to or arising from the ADP.

Noncompliance with the ADP is unprofessional conduct and may result in a cease-to-practice order, notification of the nurse’s employer, extension or modification of the contract, discharge from the ADP, report to the BON, or automatic public discipline. The BON may obtain complete records of the nurse’s participation in the ADP. Participants and terms of the contract are nonpublic but may be shared with parties who have an official need to know (NCSBN, 2010d).

Further, because a nurse has the option of dropping out of an ADP and because the program has the option of discharging an unsuccessful nurse, several states have passed laws giving the BON the authority to take immediate action against the license and then have a hearing on the issues. Depending on the state, this action may be called a summary suspension, temporary suspension, license restriction, or license suspension.

Benefits of ADPs

ADPs offer a voluntary, nonpunitive opportunity for impaired nurses to begin recovery (National Organization of Alternative Programs, 2012), which benefits the recovering nurse, the BON, and the public:

- By complying with the BON recommendations, the nurse can continue to practice nursing and earn a living instead of losing his or her license and livelihood.
- The nurse has the advantage of building a record of recovery in a recognized and approved program. Regular reports to the BON regarding program participants should verify compliance with all program expectations and requirements. These measures of accountability assist the BON and the recovering nurse.
- Guidance and expertise of ADP professional support and advocacy for the recovering nurse are ongoing. (See Table 2.)
- The ADP approach, which includes early intervention and quick entry into monitoring and treatment, enhances patient safety. The traditional disciplinary method often requires 6 to 18 months of documentation, investigation, and hearings before the nurse is removed from practice (Foster & Jordan, 1994). During that time, the nurse may continue to place patients at risk.
- In that same 6 to 18 months, an ADP can identify a nurse with SUD, implement treatment, and have the nurse safely reenter practice with rigorous monitoring and aftercare.
- Most BONs report that the cost to intervene, temporarily remove a license from practice, and monitor the nurse’s return.

### Table 2

**Peer-Assistance Programs**

In peer-assistance programs, nurses help nurses with their recovery. The primary goals are to help the nurse reenter the workforce safely and competently and to restore a healthy continuum of personal growth. A peer-assistance program is an advocacy and support group, not a treatment program. After some time in an alternative-to-discipline (ADP), most nurses begin participating in a peer-assistance program as they continue with their ADP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment centers and ADPs encourage attendance at peer support-group meetings. The groups, which are usually free, operate on the principles of anonymity and confidentiality. A nurse in recovery for several years or a nurse knowledgeable about substance use disorder facilitates meetings. All participants are nurses, which enhances camaraderie. A pivotal focus of these meetings is assistance in the process of reentry into the workplace, including when and where to apply for employment. In the early stages of recovery, some nurses do not understand that an immediate return to an environment similar to the one in which they used mood-altering substances would be detrimental to their recovery. Not all states have peer support-group meetings for nurses, and most groups exist in metropolitan areas. A need for more peer-assistance programs exists, especially more peer-assistance programs in rural areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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TABLE 3
Standards for Treatment Programs
Nurses with substance use disorder must be offered long-
term, coordinated management of their care, and the care
management should be adapted based on ongoing moni-
toring of their progress. The following criteria must be in
place for a treatment program to be approved to provide services:

- Licensure by the state
- Adherence to an abstinence-based program
- Development of an individualized initial treatment plan
with a 12-month aftercare program based on evaluation
by a multidisciplinary team
- Adherence to a 12-step philosophy
- Provision for family involvement in treatment
- Provision of a geographically convenient location for
treatment to encourage the participation of family mem-
bers in the nurse’s primary treatment
- Requirement for frequent random and for-cause drug
screening and reports of positive results
- Requirement for immediate reports of significant events
in treatment that are related to the nurse’s ability to
practice safely
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Posttest

Please circle the correct answer.

1. Which statement about substance abuse disorder (SUD) among nurses is correct?
   a. The prevalence of SUD among nurses is higher than the general population.
   b. The prevalence of SUD among nurses is lower than the general population.
   c. Alcohol is the most common drug of choice for nurses.
   d. Narcotics are the most common drugs of choice for nurses.

2. Of all disciplinary actions taken by boards of nursing (BONs):
   a. less than a quarter relate to SUD.
   b. fewer than half relate to SUD.
   c. as many as 90% relate to SUD.
   d. as many as 40% relate to SUD.

3. Which statement about the Final Order of Discipline for nurses with SUD who have been found to have engaged in unprofessional conduct is correct?
   a. Disciplinary actions are reported to data banks.
   b. Records are kept private.
   c. No signed contract is needed.
   d. Entry into a monitoring program is voluntary.

4. An alternative-to-discipline program (ADP):
   a. allows nurses who meet specific criteria to continue to work.
   b. requires that nurses change shifts.
   c. has a longer investigation time compared to traditional discipline.
   d. takes a punitive approach.

5. The most common model for administration of ADPs is:
   a. a state agency other than the BON.
   b. the BON.
   c. the board of medicine.
   d. an outside entity such as a professional association.

6. Which statement about the relationship between a BON and an outside entity providing ADP is correct?
   a. The BON’s contract with the ADP must give the BON adequate control and oversight.
   b. Outside ADPs typically assume the BON’s disciplinary authority.
   c. The ADP does not share data with the BON.
   d. The ADP communicates with the BON as soon as the nurse enrolls in ADP.

7. Which statement about referrals to an ADP is correct?
   a. An employer cannot refer a nurse.
   b. An employer can refer a nurse after dismissal.
   c. A nurse may self-refer to an ADP.
   d. A nurse cannot self-refer to an ADP.

8. Which nurse is eligible for ADP?
   a. A nurse who diverted drugs for sale.
   b. A nurse who distributed drugs.
   c. A nurse who substituted placebos for drugs.
   d. A nurse with a diagnosis of SUD.

9. Which statement about noncompliance with an ADP is correct?
   a. The contract is automatically extended by 6 months.
   b. The nurse’s employer may not be notified.
   c. Noncompliance may result in a cease-to-practice order.
   d. No reports related to noncompliance can be shared.

10. The rate of return to practice for nurses in the Florida ADP (the oldest in the United States) is:
    a. 25%.
    b. 50%.
    c. 65%.
    d. 80%.
11. Which statement characterizing ADPs is correct?
   a. ADPs cost more to administer than traditional discipline processes.
   b. ADPs cost less to administer than traditional discipline processes.
   c. ADPs take longer than the traditional discipline process to remove a nurse from practice.
   d. ADPs take longer than the traditional discipline process to return nurses to practice.

12. A peer-assistance program:
   a. focuses on reentry into the workplace.
   b. focuses on a 12-step program.
   c. is a mutual help group.
   d. is a treatment group.

13. When does a nurse typically enter a peer-assistance program?
   a. 1 month after completing an ADP
   b. 3 months after completing an ADP
   c. While in an ADP
   d. Before entering an ADP

14. Which statement about confidentiality and ADPs is correct?
   a. Confidentiality is an unintentional benefit of ADPs.
   b. Communication between the ADP and BON should be limited.
   c. Most ADPs do not make the names of participants public.
   d. ADPs are not required to notify the BON when a nurse enters the program.

15. If a nurse leaves an ADP:
   a. the BON can notify other jurisdictions.
   b. the ADP cannot share the information with the BON.
   c. the nurse cannot be readmitted.
   d. the exit will not affect recovery chances.

---

### Evaluation Form (required)

1. Rate your achievement of each objective from 5 (high/excellent) to 1 (low/poor).

   - Compare disciplinary and nondisciplinary approaches used by boards of nursing (BONs) for substance use disorder cases.

     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
     |---|---|---|---|---|
     |  |  |  |  |  |

   - State the benefits of alternative-to-discipline programs (ADPs).

     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
     |---|---|---|---|---|
     |  |  |  |  |  |

   - Describe the ADP process, including referral, eligibility, and treatment.

     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
     |---|---|---|---|---|
     |  |  |  |  |  |

   - Discuss the relationship between ADPs and BONs.

     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
     |---|---|---|---|---|
     |  |  |  |  |  |
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Please print clearly

Name

Mailing address

Street

City

State     Zip

Home phone

Business phone

Fax

E-mail

Method of payment (check one box)

☐ Member (no charge)

☐ Nonmembers (must include a check for $15 payable to NCSBN)

PLEASE DO NOT SEND CASH.

Mail completed posttest, evaluation form, registration form, and payment to:

NCSBN
c/o Beth Radtke
111 East Wacker Drive
Suite 2900
Chicago, IL 60601-4277
Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for processing.